

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
9/510,087	02/22/00	SMITH		Р	EVS	-P-99-017
		QM02/1011	┐	EXAMINER		
atents+TMS		WALCZAK,D				
A Professional Corporation				ART	UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1914 N Milwaukee Avenue Brd Floor Chicago IL 60647				3751 DATE M	AILED:	-
anicago ir o	3647			DATE M		/11/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/510,087 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit **David Walczak** 

3751

Smith

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 22.</u>	2000
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte</i> Q	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed QuayWe35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
longer, from the mailing date of this communication.	on is set to expire <u>one</u> month(s), or thirty days, whichever is Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
<ul> <li>☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</li> <li>☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>☐ All ☐ Some* None of the CERTIFIED</li> <li>☐ received.</li> <li>☐ received in Application No. (Series Code</li> <li>☐ received in this national stage application</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	is/are objected to by the Examiner is approveddisapproved. r. caminer.  gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). copies of the priority documents have been e/Serial Number) n from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
<ul> <li>Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome</li> </ul>	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	w, PTO-948
SEE OFFICE A	CTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 3751

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Election of Species

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention:

Species I: Figure 1,

Species II: Figure 2 and

Species III: Figure 3.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 5-8 and 15-18 are considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/510,087

Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Brian Mattson on 10/10/00 to request an oral election 2.

to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 3.

should be directed to Dave Walczak whose telephone number is (703) 308-0608.

D Walczak

October 11, 2000